

CLOVER PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT MODERNIZATION /REPLACEMENT MATRIX (2004)

Date: January 1, 2005

PHYSICAL PLANT CONDITION SUMMARY												EDUCATIONAL FACTORS SUMMARY									
	95	80	70	90	10	100	80	60	50	50											
	ROOF	EXTERIOR	INTERIOR	SYSTEMS	GROUNDS	BUILDING CODES	DEMOG'S.	PROGRAMS	SITE SAFETY	PROTOTYPE MATCH	WEIGHTED VALUES										
SCHOOLS	This element encompasses all installed roofing systems including thermal and moisture protection components. All roofing tiles, shingles, built-up plys, membrane, elastomeric systems, coping, downspout accessories, ballast, and special coatings are included.	An evaluation of the building exterior shell (excluding the roof). Included are the structural membranes, finish, condition of walls, exterior building lighting, doors, windows, watertightness, and insulation.	Includes all interior wall, floor, and ceiling surfaces, and finishes such as carpets, acoustic tile, painted surfaces trim, moldings, and partitions. Also included are lockers, casework, lighting, plumbing fixtures and inside window finish, and interior doors and operators.	The systems component evaluates the school's central systems including heating, ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC), plumbing fire protection and detection, security, bell clock, and PA & structural system.	Includes an evaluation of the overall grounds including the sanitary and storm systems, fields and courts, paved surfaces, landscaping, fencing, playground equipment, flag pole, and parking.	Includes type of construction, handicap accessibility, fire draft stop separations, deficiencies in water pressure as they relate to fire suppression systems, and pathway of egress deficiencies. This element does not define the building as unsafe to occupy; it only provides indication of level of condition relative to "current" building codes.	Evaluation of the school's size and supporting facilities necessary to provide for projected enrollment and growth within the attendance area.	This element provides facilities which would deliver educational programs significantly better through modernization or replacement of the building or allow for specialized program placement.	This factor encompasses those issues that diminish a safe school campus. Those elements which define this factor include, the arterial street and sidewalk system that serve the school, the flow of traffic and traffic counts, the ability to maintain a separation between parent/bus dropoff and associated parking, the proximity and placement of the facility as it relates to ease of monitoring the campus and the size of the site to support the aforementioned.	This factor measures the extent to which the facility meets the standards of the established prototype											
AMERICAN LAKE S	100	9500	100	8000	100	7000	100	9000	95	950	100	10000	100	8000	100	6000	50	2500	100	5000	65950
A1	100	9500	100	8000	100	7000	100	9000	100	1000	100	10000	100	8000	100	6000	25	1250	80	4000	63750
TILLCUM	80	7600	100	8000	100	7000	100	9000	85	850	100	10000	100	5600	75	4500	100	5000	50	2500	60050
WOODBROOK	75	7125	75	6000	75	5250	50	4500	75	750	75	7500	100	8000	75	4500	100	5000	80	4000	52625
LAKE CITY	55	5225	100	8000	100	7000	80	7200	75	750	100	10000	40	3200	75	4500	75	3750	60	3000	52625
CUSTER	100	9500	75	6000	100	7000	75	6750	25	250	75	7500	50	4000	80	4800	75	3750	60	3000	52550
HUDTLOFF	50	4750	75	6000	75	5250	50	4500	50	500	75	7500	100	8000	75	4500	75	3750	80	4000	48750
LOCHBURN	60	5700	75	6000	75	5250	25	2250	75	750	75	7500	100	8000	75	4500	75	3750	90	4500	48200
LAKEVIEW	75	7125	80	6400	100	7000	50	4500	75	750	85	8500	70	5600	50	3000	75	3750	10	500	47125
LAKES	35	3325	75	6000	100	7000	80	7200	25	250	75	7500	100	8000	30	1800	50	2500	60	3000	46575
MANN	35	3325	75	6000	75	5250	25	2250	75	750	75	7500	100	8000	80	4800	50	2500	100	5000	45375
SOUTHGATE	80	7600	75	6000	75	5250	25	2250	75	750	50	5000	55	4400	75	4500	75	3750	75	3750	43250
TYEE PARK	50	4750	65	5200	75	5250	75	6750	75	750	75	7500	75	6000	15	900	75	3750	20	1000	41850
LAKE LOUISE	75	7125	60	4800	75	5250	50	4500	75	750	75	7500	45	3600	15	900	75	3750	10	500	38675
OAKWOOD	50	4750	50	4000	65	4550	50	4500	75	750	50	5000	60	4800	60	3600	50	2500	80	4000	38450
GREENWOOD	60	5700	60	4800	50	3500	60	5400	75	750	50	5000	80	6400	20	1200	25	1250	80	4000	38000
CLARKMOOR	60	5700	40	3200	40	2800	50	4500	75	750	50	5000	75	6000	20	1200	50	2500	100	5000	36650
OAKBROOK	50	4750	50	4000	75	5250	60	5400	50	500	50	5000	70	5600	25	1500	25	1250	60	3000	36250
IDLEWILD	75	7125	75	6000	65	4550	50	4500	75	750	50	5000	50	4000	15	900	50	2500	10	500	35825
DOWER	75	7125	75	6000	60	4200	45	4050	75	750	50	5000	50	4000	15	900	50	2500	10	500	35025
HILLSIDE	50	4750	75	6000	50	3500	50	4500	75	750	50	5000	70	5600	25	1500	25	1250	10	500	33350
CARTER LAKE	50	4750	40	3200	40	2800	50	4500	75	750	50	5000	90	7200	20	1200	50	2500	20	1000	32900
BEACHWOOD	50	4750	40	3200	40	2800	40	3600	85	850	50	5000	100	8000	15	900	50	2500	10	500	32100
CLOVER PARK	25	2375	40	3200	60	4200	50	4500	1	10	10	1000	100	8000	20	1200	40	2000	80	4000	30485
PARK LODGE	80	7600	25	2000	40	2800	25	2250	25	250	10	1000	90	7200	20	1200	25	1250	60	3000	28550
EVERGREEN	50	4750	60	4800	40	2800	50	4500	35	350	25	2500	80	6400	5	300	1	50	10	500	26950

The matrix analysis provides for a basis of evaluating existing facilities with respect to criteria (physical plant and education program criteria). The higher the weighted scores the greater the need. It should be noted that this is one tool in the process of facilities planning and the values should not be considered all encompassing to other factors such as sequencing of projects.